

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,250	07/30/2003	Stephen D. Pacetti	50623.267	9391
7590 03/10/2005			EXAMINER	
Cameron Kerrigan			ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E	
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.				
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Maritime Plaza			1773	
San Francisco, CA 94111			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- (		#\/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/630,250	PACETTI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ramsey Zacharia	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI to cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	ebruary 2005.					
•	action is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-24,26-44 and 54-99</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-17,21-24,26-44 and 54-99</u> is/are	e rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	s have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
application from the International Bureau	•	received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.				
Attachment(s)	<b>4. ⊠</b>	)				
1)		Summary (PTO-413) <del>s)Meil</del> ,Date. <u>2/28/2005</u> .				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	9) ∟ Other:	'				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Upon reconsideration and in view of the response filed on 14 February 2005,
 PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claims 15 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 15 is rendered indefinite because it is unclear what is referred to by the phrase "the aliphatic" on line 10. This rejection may be overcome by replacing the phrase with --the aliphatic group of  $R_3$ --.
- 4. Claim 44 is rendered indefinite because it is unclear what is referred to by the phrase "a hydroxylated functional" on line 3. This rejection may be overcome by replacing the phrase with --a hydroxylated functional compound--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-4, 6-17, 21-24, 26-43, 54-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eury et al. (U.S. Patent 5,605,696) in view of Heller et al. (U.S. Patent 4,304,767).

Art Unit: 1773

Eury et al. is directed to a stent coated with a polymer component capable of carrying and releasing therapeutic drugs (column 1, lines 7-12). The polymer may be a polyorthoester (column 4, lines 37-54).

Eury et al. do not teach the specific structure of the polyorthoester.

Heller et al. is directed to a polymer suitable for use as a drug carrier that may be used to fabricate articles such as implants (column 1, lines 10-20). Not only is the polymer of Heller et al. useful as a drug carrier for applications such as implants, but it can be made by an improved process that has few or none of the disadvantages of conventional polyorthoesters (column 2, lines 34-41). These disadvantages include long reaction times and the formation of volatile condensation products (column 1, lines 64-68), difficulty in achieving high molecular weights (column 2, lines 5-6), occurrence of side reactions leading to impure product (column 2, lines 22-25). The polymer comprises the reaction of a ketene acetal having a functionality of at least two with a polyol (column 2, lines 63-66). The ketene acetal may be a diketene acetal designated by Type I Monomers having a spiro structure in which the terminal R groups can be H or hydrocarbon groups (column 3, lines 25-55). This reads on the diketene acetal of formula (I) in instant claim 3. The polyol may be aliphatic diols, such as those having an alkylene chain of 2 to 12 carbon atoms (column 6, lines 52-67). The polyol may be a polyglycol, such as diethylene glycol or triethylene glycol (column 7, lines 13-20). The polyol may be cycloaliphatic diols. such as cis and trans cyclohexane diols and cyclobutane diol (column 7, lines 21-34). The polyol may also be an aromatic diol, such as 1,4-dihydroxymethylbenzyl (i.e. pbenzenedimethanol) (column 7, lines 46-66).

Application/Control Number: 10/630,250 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

One skilled in the art would be motivated to use the polyorthoester of Heller et al. as the polyorthoester of Eury et al. because it has few or none of the disadvantages associated with conventional polyorthoesters.

Regarding the numerous individual species of diols recited in the instant claims that are not disclosed by Heller et al., the compounds recited in these claims have very close structural similarities and utilities to those taught by Heller et al. For example, while Heller et al. may not explicitly recite each and every aliphatic diol, not only do Heller et al. teach the broad class of aliphatic diol and but numerous specific examples are provided. As such, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists based on the similarity in chemical structure and function between the disclosed genera and species of Heller et al. and those recited in the instant claims since there is an expectation that compounds similar in structure will have similar properties. See MPEP § 2144.09.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 18-20 are allowed for the reasons put forth in the action mailed 20 July 2004.
- 7. Claim 44 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action for the reasons put forth in the action mailed 23 December 2004.

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,250

Art Unit: 1773

Page 5

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Zacharia whose telephone number is (571) 272-1518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached at (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramsey Zacharia rimary Examiner

Tech Center 1700